IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OS ALL	4
and the strates strates bistract court of the	
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF TENNESSEE	· Hoc
EASTERN DIVISION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE	<i>'</i> 4 8:33
TILDA N. SIMMONS,	UPT
Plaintiff,	
VS. No. 05-1210-T/An	
MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL.,	
Defendants.	

ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO STAY

Plaintiff Tilda N. Simmons filed this action in the Circuit Court of Crockett County, Tennessee, on June 20, 2005, against Merck and Company, Inc., maker of the prescription drug known as Vioxx. Plaintiff also named as defendants certain local Merck sales representatives and pharmacists. Merck removed the action to this Court on July 25, 2005, on the basis of diversity of citizenship, contending that the non-diverse defendants were fraudulently joined in an attempt to defeat diversity jurisdiction.

Also on July 25, 2005, Merck filed a motion to stay all further proceedings pending a decision by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation ("MDL Panel") on whether this case should be transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana as a "tag-along" action in MDL Proceeding No. 1657, <u>In re Vioxx Product</u>

This document entered on the docket sheet in compliance with Rule 58 and/or 79 (a) FRCP on



<u>Liability Litigation</u>. Plaintiff filed a motion to remand the action to state court on August 4, 2005.

The MDL Panel issued the first Transfer Order establishing MDL-1657 on February 16, 2005. In that order, the Panel stated:

The pendency of a motion to remand to state court is not a sufficient basis to avoid inclusion in Section 1407 proceedings. We note that motions to remand in two actions, one action each in the District of Kansas and the Eastern District of Missouri, as well as in any other MDL-1657 actions can be presented to and decided by the transferee judge. See, e.g., In re Ivy, 901 F.2d 7 (2d Cir. 1990); In re Prudential Insurance Company of America Sales Practices Litigation, 170 F. Supp. 2d 1346, 1347-48 (J.P.M.L. 2001).

Transfer Order, at 2 (J.P.M.L. Feb. 16, 2005).

The pendency of transfer to the MDL proceeding does not limit the authority of this Court to rule on the plaintiff's motion to remand. See JPML R. 1.5. The decision whether to grant a stay is within the inherent power of the Court and is discretionary. See Landis v. North Am. Co., 299 U.S. 248, 254-55 (1936). Although some courts have opted to rule on pending motions to remand prior to the MDL Panel's decision on transfer, see, e.g., Kantner v. Merck & Co., Inc., No. 1:04CV2044-JDT-TAB, 2005 WL 277688 (S.D. Ind. Jan. 26, 2005), there are many more that have chosen to grant a stay, even if a motion to remand has been filed. E.g., Anderson v. Merck & Co., Inc., No. 4:05-cv-89 (E.D. Mo. Mar. 16, 2005); McCrerey v. Merck & Co., Inc., No. 04-cv-2576 (S.D. Cal. Mar. 2, 2005); Dixon v. Merck & Co., Inc., No. 05-0121 (S.D. Tex. Feb. 23, 2005).

There are several other Vioxx cases already pending in this district, and possibly thousands more in other districts. In many of those cases, the joinder of non-diverse defendants is contested and motions to remand have been or will be filed. Thus, the jurisdictional issues raised in this case are similar to those raised in other cases that have been or will be transferred to the MDL proceeding.

The Court finds that having the jurisdictional issues decided in one proceeding will promote judicial economy and conserve judicial resources. In addition, the Court finds that any prejudice to the plaintiff resulting from a stay would be minimal. However, in the absence of a stay, the risk to Merck of duplicative motions and discovery is significant.

For the foregoing reasons, Merck's motion to stay pending the MDL Panel's transfer decision is GRANTED. The motion to remand is deferred to the transferee court. IT IS SO ORDERED.

august 2005



Notice of Distribution

This notice confirms a copy of the document docketed as number 13 in case 1:05-CV-01210 was distributed by fax, mail, or direct printing on August 17, 2005 to the parties listed.

John R. Cannon SHUTTLEWORTH SMITH MCNABB & WILLIAMS 200 Jefferson Ave. Ste. 1500 Memphis, TN 38103--002

Kim Kail One S. Bells St Ste 6 Alamo, TN 38301

James L. "Larry& Wright JACKS LAW FIRM 111 Congress Ave. Ste. 1010 Austin, TX 78701

Steven G. Ohrvall HILL BOREN- Jackson 1269 N. Highland Ave. P.O. Box 3539 Jackson, TN 38303--353

T. Robert Hill HILL BOREN 1269 N. Highland Ave. Jackson, TN 38303--053

Charles C. Harrell BUTLER SNOW O'MARA STEVENS & CANADA, PLLC 6075 Poplar Ave. Ste. 500 Memphis, TN 38119

Honorable James Todd US DISTRICT COURT